MASPS for ADS-B

Tracking Information (committee secretary only)				
Change Issue Number	41			
Submission Date	06/04/01			
Status (open/closed/deferred)	Rev. A - CLOSED			
Last Action Date	2/22/02			

Short Title for	Emarganay I contar Transmitter Eunationality
Change Issue:	Emergency Locator Transmitter Functionality

MASPS Document Reference:		Originator Information:		
Entire document (y/n)		Name	Bill Flathers	
Section number(s)	Appendix E +	Phone	(703) 883-7578	
Paragraph number(s)		E-mail	Bill.Flathers@AOPA.org	
Table/Figure number(s)		Other		

Pro	posed Rationale for Consideration (originator should check all that apply):				
X	Item needed to support of near-term MASPS/MOPS development				
X	DO-260/ED-102 1090 MHz Link MOPS Rev A				
	ASA MASPS				
	TIS-B MASPS				
X	UAT MOPS				
X	Item needed to support applications that have well defined concept of operation				
	Has complete application description				
	Has initial validation via operational test/evaluation				
	Has supporting analysis, if candidate stressing application				
	Item needed for harmonization with international requirements				
	Item identified during recent ADS-B development activities and operational evaluations				
X	MASPS clarifications and correction item				
X	Validation/modification of questioned MASPS requirement item				
	Military use provision item				
	New requirement item (must be associated with traffic surveillance to support ASAS)				

Nature of Issue:	Editorial	Clarity	Performance	X	Functional
Issue Description:					

The current MASPS briefly mention (in Appendix E and elsewhere) the possible use of ADS-B to aid or replace Emergency Locator Transmitters (ELTs) for GA. While it is acknowledged that the ELT function is not a primary function of ADS-B, there are several recent developments that make it more attractive to the full spectrum of airspace users, and therefore worthy of more comprehensive treatment in the MASPS. First, potentially expensive ELT upgrades are on the horizon for GA as search-and-rescue (SAR) service providers push for technology enhancements to improve performance and reduce SAR costs. Second, there is growing pressure for *all* aircraft, including air-carrier aircraft which are now exempt from the ELT requirement, to be ELT-equipped. This is in response to recent accidents in which an extended period of time had passed before local authorities became aware that an accident had occurred. Also, there is interest in obtaining better position information for accident sites in order to provide more timely and better direction to SAR crews, especially at night and in reduced visibility. Given these factors, it seems appropriate to exploit this function of ADS-B in order to provide additional incentive and benefit for users to equip.

Issue # 41 Page 1

Originator's proposed resolution:

Three actions are proposed to address this issue. First is a review of the MASPS to ensure that nothing in the current version effectively negates any potential that ADS-B might have to support this ELT role. It would also be helpful to review available versions of the ADS-B MOPS to see how well these more-focused documents make provision for this role. Second, it would be desirable to establish, through a bona fide concept of operations, what other message elements need to be created to support this application, along with a notional architecture and protocol for making use of them. Finally, this work needs to be captured in the MASPS in a way that lends credence to the viability and potential of the application.

It is important to note that this proposal is *not* an invitation to delve into crash hardening, battery power management, and other ELT issues that are covered in other documents. Nor is it suggested that ELT functionality be part of the minimum requirements for ADS-B. Rather, this proposal is offered simply to provide an attractive option for airspace users to obtain cost-effective and useful ELT functionality.

Working Group 6 Deliberations:

<u>August 30, 2001</u>: This Issue Paper was reviewed at the August WG6 meeting. It was agreed <u>this Issue Paper will be addressed in Revision A</u>. The resolution to this Issue Paper will be to define one of the unused Emergency/Priority Status messages in 2.1.2.3.1 for a crash situation and to add some words to Appendix E that an ELT is a potential function supported by ADS-B. (AI 7-10)

<u>September 27, 2002</u>: he proposed MASPS changes requested in AI 7-10 were prepared by Bill Flathers and agreed to by WG6. <u>These text changes will close this Issue Paper.</u>

Working Group 6 Final Resolution:

A new value ("Downed Aircraft") was defined for the Emergency/Priority Status element of the Mode Status report. Section 3.4.4.8, which defines the Emergency/Priority Status, is found below as it appears in the draft DO-242A delivered to RTCA on March 4, 2002.

3.4.4.8 Emergency/Priority Status Field

The emergency/priority status field in the MS report is a 3-bit field which **shall** (R3.104) be encoded as indicated in <u>Table 3.4.4.8</u>.

Table 3.4.4.8: Emergency/Priority Status Encoding

Value	Meaning				
0	No emergency / not reported				
1	General emergency				
2	Lifeguard / medical emergency				
3	Minimum fuel emergency				
4	No communications				
5	Unlawful interference				
6	Downed Aircraft				
7	(Reserved for future definition)				

Issue # 41 Page 2